

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics: Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2844
Title of the Manuscript:	Determining Factors in the Influx of Foreign Direct Investment to Sudan: Implementing Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL)
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Sudan is a politically unstable country, and trying to identify the determinants of FDI in these unstable conditions is a real contribution to science. It is therefore a topical subject of interest to economists of all stripes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary does not clearly highlight some of the policies for promoting FDI in Sudan. It is therefore necessary to complete the list with the main policies highlighted in the article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The literature review should be divided into two parts. A first theoretical part, based on economic theory, and a second empirical part, discussing the results of other researchers' work. This is not the case here.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the empirical literature, there is not much work on Sudan in the field of FDI, which makes this work a pioneer. Moreover, the methodology adopted is rigorous, as are the interpretations.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The bibliography is recent and relevant to the chosen theme.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Absolutely. The quality of English is well suited to scientific communication.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anatole Toinar Mogota
Department, University & Country	University St Charles Lwanga, Chad