

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2917
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of the Factors on Effective Teaching in Guidance Schools of City of Rasht, Guilan, Iran
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<p>Yes , the manuscript is significantly important because it brings clearly the relationship between the curriculum, teachers characteristics, and Educational aids in Effective teaching.</p> <p>The researcher could however use other methods of data analysis such as multiple regression analysis in order to clearly establish the relationship between the study variables. T test and F test analysis could also explore well the level of significance between the study variables.</p>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<p>This title lacks the integration of the study variables. It would be good if the adjustment is made to;</p> <p>The influence of Curriculum , teachers chararcteristics and Educational aids on Effective teaching inGuidanceSchoolsofCity ofRasht,Guilan,Iran</p>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<p>The abstract is good but it would be more comprehensive if the authors included the the figures supporting the findings of the study.</p>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes, the the subsections and sections are good</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<p><b>Some little contradiction here based on the authors' finding in table 2</b></p> <p>"extentofcurriculumcorrelationandeffectiveteaching in meaning level of 0/254 is %47. It means it has adverse correlation. So, researchhypothesis is will be rejected, that is there is no negative relationship between effectiveteachingandcurriculum".</p> <p><b>Note that teaching is almost impossible without curriculum because it is aguide for both the teachers and the learners to achieve the aims and objectives of Education. Refers to Taylor, M. W. (2016). Research commentary: From effective curricula toward effective curriculum use. <i>Journal for Research in Mathematics Education</i>, 47(5), 440-453.</b></p>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>There is need to include more references in the introduction</b>	

**Review Form2**

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Odit Emmanuel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lira University, Uganda</b>