

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2917
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of the Factors on Effective Teaching in Guidance Schools of City of Rasht, Guilan, Iran
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The significance of this research is the large scale of participants with the number of 2237 respondents. This is a remarkably large scale study, which can indicate valuable insights on educational practice. Secondly, the factors of influencing effective teaching were dealt with comprehensively. Finally, the research site has been understudied and experimental data from this area would enrich the existing research on this topic.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The population of this study is not clear how "Population of this research was 2237 from City of Rasht who were 200 male and female". The total number of respondents is 2237, but I have no idea how 200 males and females form 2237 respondents.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The method and result sections are too brief in the lack of proper details. The 30 survey questions are not presented, so that each variable is not properly presented to the audience. Each question should have been presented and explained with regard to the research questions and hypotheses. The result section merely reported whether each hypothesis was proved, which does not indicate meaningful implications both in theory and practice. As the result does not specify the variables via the survey questions, the discussion does not relate to the result of this study but review the literature, which does not indicate clear scholarly contribution of this study.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The major method of survey questions were properly tested on 30 teachers before the implementations with satisfying level of 96% Cronbach Alpha, plus the consultation from expert groups.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	- Periods are always incorrectly located in front of the in-text citations, so that overall check-up is required; ...and action. (Kolhar, 2008). - The use of 'et al.' is always wrong; Hilgard and et al (1975) know...	

Review Form2

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, it is not suitable. Aside from the lack of logic in the development of ideas and too casual expressions in the lack of scholarly back-up from references, the sentences are filled with minor and serious grammatical errors.</p> <p>To get published, this manuscript requires thorough Grammar checks due to minor grammatical errors; Population of this research was 2237 from City of Rasht who were 200 male and female which selected accidentally (Abstract). Also, some errors on very basic English grammar evoke clear doubts on sufficient English language skills of the author(s); Each of them is applies in its specific way. In this sense, the author(s) should get help to edit the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment<i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Yi Boon Chang</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>South Korea</p>