

**BPI Review Form 070520**

Book Name:	<a href="#">Progress in Language, Literature and Education Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_484</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MIXED METHOD ANALYSIS IN ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF INTENTIONAL LEARNING INSTRUMENTS IN TEACHING CIRCUITS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	High-quality mixed method analysis is presented in full detail. It can be defined as really important duo to efficient operating in the middle of Pedagogy and Psychology.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title completely portrays the main idea.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Consistent logic of presentation is really good and briefly includes all necessary items: purpose, methodology, results and conclusions	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. There are only a few tiny flaws, for example, "is shown in the figure 1" on page 1429 and "study focused only in" on page 1440.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The manuscript can be regarded as an excellent article with data. The section Brief Review of Related Literature proves its scientific approach along with a practical one.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	The references in the manuscript are proper and sufficient. But, it would be better to have 6-7 references published no later than 2019. Updating is necessary.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**BPI Review Form 070520**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Natalya A. Chernova</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kazan Federal University, Naberezhnochelninsky Institute, Russia</b>