

[Review Form2](#)

BookName:	MedicineandMedicalResearch:NewPerspectives
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_BPR_2746
Titleof theManuscript:	AStudytoEvaluatethePrevalenceofGoldenProportion andREDProportioninAestheticallyPleasingSmiles
TypeoftheArticle	BookChapter

[Review Form2](#)

PART1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is fine, but the subject of the study should have been more and the age group should have extended till 30yrs or more or the study can be also be divided in two groups of age and also till second premolar the smile visibility is considered in the study for each subjects but not mentioned which part of the second premolar? Is it the mesial third of the tooth, along the long axis of the tooth or distal third of the tooth.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title should have been more catchy or convincing. Eg- An Analysis of Golden and Red Proportion in Aesthetic Smiles Design: Prevalence and Application	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is fine, comprehensive and within the word limit.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes Structure of the manuscript is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript seems to be grounded in sound scientific principles, methodologies and data analysis, bias is also minimal and results are reliable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but not recent, more recent studies should have added to the reference list regarding esthetic smile design.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language is suitable.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

<u>Review Form2</u>	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sreetama Taraphdar
Department, University & Country	Haldia Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, West Bengal University of Health Sciences, India