

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Research Perspectives of Microbiology and Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1196
Title of the Manuscript:	Aflatoxin biocontrol effectiveness in the real world— Private sector-led efforts to manage aflatoxins in Nigeria through biocontrol-centered strategies
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	This is important manuscript for scientific community as well as the society. Any attempt that brings solution to potential problem of mycotoxins is welcome and this paper is an example of mass application of biocontrol measure.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Title is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Abstract is comprehensive and appropriate.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	English language quality is very good.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The manuscript is written well with different sections, but some information in methodology is not clear, like how the cross effects of other herbicides and Aflasafe or with other pesticides were monitored/corrected? Aflatoxin is not produced just after harvesting. Hence, samples taken just after harvesting for aflatoxin estimation may not represent clear picture! Information on factors affecting aflatoxin production need to be mentioned. Especially, information on humidity, storage conditions, irrigation conditions, post harvest management, etc should be clearly mentioned in the manuscript.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	References are proper and sufficient to support the theme and research outcomes.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

BPI Review Form 070520

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J.B. Prajapati
Department, University & Country	Anand Agricultural University, India