

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Pharmaceutical Research: Recent Advances and Trends
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2278
Title of the Manuscript:	Modulation of the in vitro Oxidative Stress and Erythrocyte Cell Membrane Integrity Using Aqueous, Hydroethanolic and Ethanolic Stem-Barks Extracts of Greenwayodendronsuaveolens (Engl. & Diels) Verdc
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The manuscript was important and well written and makes good contribution to the field. . Unique study done .</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explanation regarding the Greenwayoden- dronsuaveolens aqueous, hydroethanolic & ethanolic Extracts <i>preparation, their in vitro antioxidant activity , anti-inflammatory activity was well explained and described in the study.</i> • Methodology section has provided more comprehensive description of the procedures and rationale. • The results section has showed explicit explanations for better understanding • The literature review has included sufficient recent studies and provided a thorough context for the study. • The conclusions are supported by the data findings. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is informative and appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is brief.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections & structure and appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, The Lanuagae& the quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suchitra Kavuri
Department, University & Country	Alluri Sitarama Raju Academy of Medical Sciences, India