

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2293
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Flood Susceptibility Analysis Using Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) in Kota Belud Area, Sabah, Malaysia
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights and contributes to the field with its rigorous analysis and clear presentation of results. I appreciate its potential impact on ongoing research. However, some areas, like expanding data coverage or addressing additional perspectives, could be improved to enhance its overall relevance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound due to its rigorous methodology, comprehensive data analysis, and clear presentation of results. The authors use established techniques and appropriate statistical methods, enhancing the reliability of the findings. The discussion connects the results well with existing literature, demonstrating a solid understanding of the topic. Furthermore, potential limitations are acknowledged, which strengthens the credibility and transparency of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes but still Author can refer and cite [1] Papalkar, R. R., & Alvi, A. S. (2022). Analysis of defense techniques for DDos attacks in IoT—A review. ECS Transactions, 107(1), 3061. [3] Papalkar, R. R., & Alvi, A. S. (2023). Review of unknown attack detection with deep learning techniques. In Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain, Computing and Security Volume 1 (pp. 989-997). CRC Press. [4] Singhal, S., Ali, S., Shukla, D. K., Dagur, A., Papalkar, R., Rathod, V., & Awasthy, M. (2024). Enhanced-honey bee based load balancing algorithm for cloud environment. In Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain, Computing and Security Volume 1 (pp. 951-956). CRC Press. [5] Singhal, S., Ali, S., Shukla, D. K., Dagur, A., Papalkar, R., Rathod, V., & Awasthy, M. (2024). Enhanced-honey bee based load balancing algorithm for cloud environment. In Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain, Computing and Security Volume 1 (pp. 951-956). CRC Press.	

Review Form2

	<p>[6] Papalkar, R. R., Alvi, A. S., Ali, S., Awasthy, M., & Kanse, R. (2023). An optimized feature selection guided light-weight machine learning models for DDoS attacks detection in cloud computing. In Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain, Computing and Security Volume 1 (pp. 975-982). CRC Press.</p> <p>[7] Kanse, R., Ajagekar, S., Patil, T., Motekar, H., Rathod, V., Papalkar, R., & Ali, S. (2023). A speech emotion recognition system using machine learning. In Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain, Computing and Security Volume 1 (pp. 749-754). CRC Press.</p> <p>[8] Kanse, R., Ajagekar, S., Patil, T., Motekar, H., Rathod, V., Papalkar, R., & Ali, S. (2023). WeSafe: A safety app for all. In Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain, Computing and Security Volume 1 (pp. 441-446). CRC Press.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Accept no revision , fair article	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahul R Papalkar
Department, University & Country	Vishwakarma University, India