

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2370
Title of the Manuscript:	Validity and Reliability of Teachers Mathematics Knowledge Scale
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I do like this manuscript. Mathematics is a main subject that form and develop person's logical thinking. Therefore mathematical knowledge nowadays is vital for future teachers because it provide a proper logical background for they professional and everyday activities in information society. Problemsolving, reasoning, connecting and representing skills developed in mathematics can be expanded to other areas.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. I suggest " Validity and Reliability of Teachers Mathematics Competence Scale " because developed framework includes not only knowledge in its pure form, but expands it to teachers' skills and even abilities. For instance, applying mathematics in a related field, mathematics teaching, teaching management and contextual teaching are part of mathematics teacher's competence.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. No sugesstions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. No sugesstions.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because of it proper research methodology that uses both qualitative and quantitative methods. Questionnaire has shown very valid to collect qualitative data raw from the respondents, as well as using the five-point Likert scale to obtain quantitative data. Quantitative method Cronbach's alpha was used for test the reliability of the developed scale. This book chapter could be better by including more detailed explication of the Ernest Framework.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Yes. No sugesstions.	

[Review Form2](#)

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marian Byrka
Department, University & Country	Yuriy Fedkovich Chernivtsi National University, Ukraine