

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2370
Title of the Manuscript:	Validity and Reliability of Teachers Mathematics Knowledge Scale
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very relevant to the scientific community as it explores reliability and validity of teachers Mathematics knowledge. Mathematics is a key or core knowledge base for any course of study. The author may avoid using futuristic terms as the study has been concluded.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Suitable</b> Clarify, in the introduction, some of the key terms in the introduction. You may leave findings of the study under an appropriate sub-heading, 'Findings of the Study'	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	State what the chapter has done from the first line E.g. This chapter examined 'Validity and Reliability of Teachers Mathematics Knowledge Scale'. Add, Validity, Reliability, Teachers, Mathematics knowledge as part of the key words and remove key words not captured in the body of the study	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections not complete - for example, the author may include, 'Findings', there should be a subtitle to explain reliability and validity. This will engender clarity to readers. Let us know what you mean by Validity and Reliability as it relates to teachers and Mathematics knowledge..	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper used the appropriate statistical tool. However the objectives of the study should be itemized and clearly marked off to guide readers on the intention of the study. Once this is done, the findings of the study would be properly delineated.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient.	

[Review Form 2](#)

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language should be used in the past active verbs since the study and its findings are deemed to have been concluded.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper is suitable for publicatio especially because of its scientific nature. However the noted points may be attended to bring out the main idea or pursuit of the paper. Conclusion requires elaboration as it did not state the position of the study	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nweke, Emmanuel Onyekachi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nigeria</b>