

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2579
Title of the Manuscript:	The value of traditional African religious music intoliturgy: Lobethal Congregation
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is of interest to the scientific community, since the subject of religious music and the inculturation of liturgical singing is always relevant.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article corresponds to the content.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract corresponds to the content, it must be changed depending on what adjustments are made to the text.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The text is well structured, all sections meet the standards of academic writing. The methodology, research literature review, discussion and results are described in detail, and the research prospects are also indicated. If my recommendations for expanding the text are accepted, it would be desirable to add information to Table 1 and Figure 1 to show the dynamics from 2014 to 2022 or 2023.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The article contains enough references, but they are quite old, most of the works were written in the period 1970–1990. If changes are made to the text, the author needs to add new studies, not only from the 2000s, but also from 2010–2020.	

[Review Form2](#)

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	The topic of the article is interesting, the angle of consideration is also interesting, I recommend expanding the text of the manuscript and reflecting the new data.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olga Zosim
Department, University & Country	National Academy of Culture and Arts Management, Ukraine