

[ReviewForm2](#)

BookName:	MedicineandMedical Research:NewPerspectives
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_BPR_2631
Titleofthe Manuscript:	Hysterotomyand Myomectomyfor Retained Placentain aUterus: A CaseReport
Typeof the Article	Bookchapter

PART1:ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that partinthe manuscript.It ismandatorythatauthors shouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importanceof this manuscript for the scientific community. Why doyou like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4sentencesmay be requiredfor this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "It'soneofthe obstetricemergenciesthat canariseduringclinicalpractice." • "Suchemergenciesdemandpromptandeffectivedecision-making." • "Timelyactionsarecrucialtoensure thesafetyand well-beingofthepatient." 	
Isthethetitleofthe articlesuitable? (If notpleasesuggestan alternative title)	Titleis suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do yousuggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in thissection?Pleasewrite yoursuggestionshere.	Minorcorrection needed	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscriptappropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientificcorrectness of this manuscript. Why do you think thatthis manuscript is scientifically robust and technicallysound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be requiredforthispart.	"This case report is logically robust and technically sound due to its detailed clinical scenario.It outlines the patient's problem and treatment methods, ensuring a complete understanding ofthecase.The reportis supportedbyrelevant references,which helpsto validatehediscussionand conclusions. The manuscript provides valuable insights while maintaining scientificintegrity.	
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?Ifyouhavesuggestions of additional references, please mentionthem inthe reviewform.	Yesaresufficient withminorcorrection	
MinorREVISIONcomments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitableforscholarly communications?	Suitable	
Optional/General comments		

[ReviewForm2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naaghem Farouk Abed
Department, University & Country	UTAR Medical University, Iraq