

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2703
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital Citizenship Practices for Social Media Users in the Virtual Space
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research topic is worth publishing and studying because digital citizenship is very important all over the world, as it has become the essence of government transformation in the modern era, and citizenship is a distinct tool to know and identify desirable behaviors and to avoid unwanted behaviors in digital transactions and acquire positive behavior for the use of technology	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is very clear and useful to the reader in knowing the content of the research and its purpose	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I hope to add the following: Who are the research sample and from which country and what is their educational level, Add key recommendations.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, suitable and good.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The summary is fairly good, it only needs to add the most important recommendations, and the results were presented in a statistically correct way, and also the results were interpreted appropriately, and the references were documented scientifically and correctly, but there are some references that need another look because they are a bit old, and I hope that some of the proposed studies will be added at the end of the research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are some references that need to be modified because they are a bit old	

**Review Form2**

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, its very good	
Optional/General comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amr Toony Ali Tosson Al-Kardousi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tanta University, Egypt</b>