

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2703
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital Citizenship Practices for Social Media Users in the Virtual Space
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical aspect of contemporary society: digital citizenship in the context of social media. Its findings elucidate how various platforms contribute to fostering safe digital practices, which is essential for empowering users in an increasingly online environment. By presenting a comparative analysis of platforms such as Twitter and Facebook, the study provides valuable insights for educators, policymakers, and researchers interested in digital literacy and civic engagement. However, the manuscript would benefit from a more robust methodological framework and a more nuanced discussion of the implications of its findings, thereby enhancing its overall impact on the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Digital Citizenship Practices for Social Media Users in the Virtual Space" is generally appropriate, as it clearly conveys the focus on digital citizenship and social media. However, it could be refined to be more engaging and specific. A proposed alternative title could be: "Exploring Digital Citizenship: Effective Practices on Social Media Platforms". This title emphasizes the exploratory nature of the study and highlights the effectiveness of practices across different platforms.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study's purpose, research questions, methodology, and key findings. However, it could benefit from several enhancements: 1. Clarify the Research Questions: The questions could be articulated more explicitly and concisely to improve clarity. 2. Include Methodological Details: Briefly delineating the methods employed for data collection and analysis would strengthen the abstract. 3. Highlight Implications: Incorporating a statement regarding the implications of the findings for practitioners or policymakers would provide context for the significance of the research. 4. Conciseness: Consider refining certain phrases for a more direct impact. Overall, these modifications could render the abstract more comprehensive and informative for the reader.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and overall structure of the manuscript are well-organized and effectively guide the reader through the research process. The logical progression from the abstract to the introduction, literature review, methodologies, results, and discussions provides a clear framework for understanding the study. To enhance clarity further, consider minor adjustments such as renaming the "Literatures" section to "Literature Review" and subdividing the "Methodologies" section into clearer sub-sections, such as "Participants," "Data Collection," and "Data Analysis," to enhance readability and provide more detail. These changes could improve the manuscript's accessibility while maintaining its strong foundation. Overall, the structure is robust and supports the manuscript's objectives effectively.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically	This manuscript demonstrates scientific rigor through its clear research design and methodology, effectively employing both qualitative and quantitative approaches to analyze digital citizenship practices. The utilization of a well-defined sample of 200 social media users ensures that the findings	

[Review Form2](#)

<p>robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>are grounded in empirical data, enhancing the credibility of the results. Additionally, the manuscript references relevant literature, situating the study within the broader context of digital citizenship research, which supports its theoretical framework. The thoroughness of the analysis and the systematic approach contribute to its technical soundness and reliability in addressing the research questions posed.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>=</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript are generally robust and well-selected, providing a solid foundation for the research. They encompass a combination of recent studies and seminal texts in the field of digital citizenship, which effectively contextualizes the findings.</p> <p>To further enhance the manuscript, the authors may consider incorporating additional recent references, such as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Ribble, M. (2021). *Digital Citizenship in Schools: Nine Elements All Students Should Know.* This text offers valuable insights into essential aspects of digital citizenship.2. Hollandsworth, R., Dowdy, L., & Donovan, A. (2020). "Digital Citizenship in K-12: A Systematic Review of the Literature." This article reviews various approaches to teaching digital citizenship in educational contexts.3. Sullivan, C. (2022). "The Role of Social Media in Promoting Digital Citizenship." This recent study explores how social media can effectively support digital citizenship education. <p>The inclusion of these references could further strengthen the manuscript and ensure it reflects the most current discourse in the field. Overall, the references are well-selected and contribute positively to the manuscript's credibility.</p>	

[Review Form2](#)

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are quite suitable for scholarly communication. The manuscript effectively conveys its ideas with clarity, making it accessible to readers in the academic community.</p> <p>To enhance the overall quality even further, some minor revisions could be beneficial, such as simplifying certain sentence structures for improved flow and ensuring consistent terminology throughout. A careful proofreading could also help catch any small grammatical errors.</p> <p>Overall, with these minor tweaks, the manuscript is well on its way to meeting the high standards of scholarly writing!</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript presents a valuable contribution to the field of digital citizenship, particularly in the context of social media. The research is timely and relevant, addressing an increasingly important topic as digital platforms continue to shape civic engagement.</p> <p>The comprehensive analysis of user practices across different social media platforms is commendable and provides insightful findings that can inform educators, policymakers, and researchers alike.</p> <p>Incorporating additional recent references could further strengthen the manuscript, ensuring it reflects the latest developments in the field. Overall, the study is well-structured and thoughtfully executed, making it a strong candidate for publication with minor revisions.</p> <p>The manuscript demonstrates a strong awareness of ethical considerations, particularly in its focus on digital citizenship and social media use. It effectively engages with the topic while promoting responsible practices among users.</p> <p>To further enhance its ethical clarity, it would be beneficial to explicitly mention aspects like informed consent from participants and how data privacy is maintained. Addressing these points will strengthen the manuscript's ethical framework and reassure readers about the responsible handling of information.</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript is well-positioned in terms of ethical considerations, and with these minor additions, it can confidently reflect the high standards of scholarly research!</p> <p>The manuscript presents valuable insights into digital citizenship but would benefit from minor adjustments in clarity, language, and the addition of recent references. With these revisions, it has the potential to make a significant contribution to the field.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Tamanna Quraishi</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University of the People, Afghanistan</p>