

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2710
Title of the Manuscript:	"The IMPACT of New Media on Child Socialization" Appliedre Search on Basic Education Schools in Muscat Governorate –Sultanate of Oman
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it explores the influence of new media on the socialization of Omani children, a topic that has global relevance given the increasing integration of digital platforms into daily life. By examining core dimensions like language, identity, values, and religious practices, the research offers insights into how cultural and social dynamics are shaped in the digital age.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	While the research's aim is clear, adding a sentence that highlights the broader importance of this study—both regionally and globally—could make the abstract more compelling. This could include how the results might impact policy, education, or parental guidance in the context of media consumption in Oman or similar regions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	-	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	While the manuscript addresses an important topic, there are a few concerns regarding its scientific robustness. The use of a social survey with a sample size of 270 pupils from only 9 schools may limit the generalizability of the findings, as the sample may not fully represent the diversity of Omani children or their media experiences. Additionally, the reliance on self-reported data in social surveys can introduce bias, as children may not accurately recall or assess their media consumption and its effects .	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The authors have cited studies only up to 2014, which limits the paper's relevance to the current context. To strengthen the introduction and literature review, the authors should include more recent studies to understand the variables under study comprehensively.	

[Review Form2](#)

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, several grammatical errors and formatting issues have been identified. The errors are mentioned in review report</p>	
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>	<p>The topic of the research paper is interesting and relevant. However, several grammatical errors and formatting issues have been identified, which may reduce the paper's overall readability and acceptability. Therefore, a comprehensive grammar check and improvements in sentence structure are recommended to enhance clarity. Below are some of the issues identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The current era has had a lot of descriptions which include certain and strong clues that human beings leads the most powerful times of informational revolutions and knowledge explosions. • self-expression. (Al Abdellah, Maii, 2008) pg. 55 • Formatting and Spacing Issues: "SoltanAedhMefreh, 2010: pg. 56 (paragraph 1)" and "Zaki, Walid (2012), pg. 56 (paragraph 1)" have spacing problems. Ensure that spacing between names and references is consistent throughout the text. • Almadenah News asserts that Facebook users have surpassed two billion, which approximates 30% of the world's population (http://almadenahnews.com, 2014). Various formatting issues have been noted in this citation and across the manuscript. • MissingInformation: • "Ibn Genni, AbolFath, Othman, p. 35" is missing the publication year. Ensure all references are complete with year, page number, and source. • Other Spacing Issues: On pg. 60, phrases such as "exposure toNew Media" and "New Mediaon language" show improper spacing. Ensure that these issues are corrected for readability. <p>Introduction and Literature Review:</p> <p>The authors have cited studies only up to 2014, which limits the paper's relevance to the current context. To strengthen the introduction and literature review, the authors should include more recent studies to understand the variables under study comprehensively.</p> <p>Research Gap:</p> <p>The research gap has not been adequately addressed. The authors should focus on identifying a gap based on more current literature to justify the need for this research.</p> <p>Methodology:</p> <p>The methodology section mentions that reliability and validity were verified using appropriate scientific methods, but no detailed explanation exists. The methods used to ensure the scale's reliability and validity must be elaborated. Additionally, the data was collected from first to fourth-grade pupils through interviews conducted by social workers. Further clarification regarding the sampling procedure, tools</p>	

[Review Form2](#)

	<p>used, and why these grades were chosen is needed.</p> <p>Data Analysis:</p> <p>The analysis section contains only descriptive results. The authors should incorporate additional statistical techniques to measure the effect of new media on the variables under study. A more robust data analysis approach, including inferential statistics, would enhance the paper's scientific rigor.</p> <p>The findings should be presented separately to improve clarity and organization.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>The conclusion is weak and lacks depth. The authors must strengthen it by summarizing the key findings and offering well-rounded insights.</p> <p>Additionally, the practical implications of the study are not discussed. The authors must highlight how the study's findings can be applied in real-world contexts, especially given the relevance of new media in today's digital landscape.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Balpreet Kaur
Department, University & Country	DAV University, India