

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2718
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-Chemical Characteristic of Gorewada Lake Water in Nagpur, India
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The data gathered in this study is helpful in a way that the nearby communities will be informed on the current quality of the lake water being studied. Since this Gorewada water is primary used for drinking purposes of the nearby residents then results of the study will serve as an eye opener that it is really not safe to drink the water directly but instead it must undergo proper treatment before consuming for public use. Results of this study tells us that there are possible non-point sources of pollutants that alter the quality of water leading to its deterioration. By having this information, it may serve as basis for the possible environmental management strategies and efforts within the locality in order to preserve the integrity of the water.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think you need to rephrase the title since for me its only an assessment of water quality of Gorewada lake. Make it more catchy for the readers.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	For the abstract you need to write something about Gorewada lake. And then on the later part of the abstract, kindly add more like..why you say that its not suited for drinking, bathing, etc.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	I suggest that in your graph, you may incorporate the threshold limit of each parameter (ex. Indian standard) so that it is easy for the viewer to visualize which parameter goes beyond the standard limit.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is environmental science sound since it deals on the assessment of the Gorewada lake water through results in physico-chemical characteristics testing. And, results will help us understand the current practices in the nearby communities that lead to the deterioration of water quality. Technically, it gives us the understanding what has been and what needs to be done to protect the water body.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are actually sufficient and enough.	

Review Form2

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It was not stated in the study the sampling stations where the water samples were taken. How many trials they make? For all water sampling study there must minimum number of trials be made per sampling station. They can also incorporate in their map the geographical coordinates/indicators of the sampling stations. 2. It is best to look at when you can incorporate in your tabulation/graph the threshold limit per parameter so that it easy for the reader to visualize which parameter is really alarming. In this way, the reader can easily see which parameter goes beyod the threshold limit set by the drinking water quality standards. 3. In your conclusion, you need to add more sentences like which parameter/s did not pass the standard hence you can say it is not safe for drinking. 4. Im sure that in India, there is water classification standards. Now, if the study concluded that it is not safe for drinking, then it might be that there are other water classification that suit to the current status of the water. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment<i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Emeline Suyo Crisologo</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University of Science and Technology in Southern Philippines, Philippines</p>