

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2739
Title of the Manuscript:	The study of executive functions and virtual preferences of Russian school students: Regional aspect
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is very helpful in early detection of internet addiction in the school going children accordingly early counselling of school going children so that their school performances will be managed.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	In the title THE word should be replaced by A. The suitable title will be:- A study of executive functions and virtual preferences of Russian school students: Regional aspect	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive and suitable.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	All the subsections are suitable and appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is scientific because it relates cognitive function of school children with the internet or mobile uses which affects especially the cortical part of the brain.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and adequate.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Few grammatical corrections in the introduction part should be taken care.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jai Prakash
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, India