

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Pharmaceutical Research: Recent Advances and Trends
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2794
Title of the Manuscript:	DAILY CLINICAL PHARMACIST ACTIVITIES
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is of significant importance to the scientific community as it offers a comprehensive overview of the critical roles that clinical pharmacists play in improving patient care through drug therapy monitoring, medication chart review, ward round participation, and patient counseling. It highlights the multi-disciplinary approach of pharmacists in enhancing therapeutic outcomes, ensuring medication safety, and promoting patient adherence to treatments. I appreciate this manuscript because it emphasizes the vital contributions of clinical pharmacists in healthcare, providing practical insights into how they improve patient outcomes and reduce medication-related errors. The manuscript effectively outlines both the clinical and educational responsibilities of pharmacists, which makes it a valuable resource for both healthcare practitioners and researchers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, " <i>Daily Clinical Pharmacist Activities</i> ," is descriptive but could be more specific to reflect the detailed focus of the manuscript on various pharmacist roles in patient care, such as drug therapy monitoring, ward rounds, and counseling. A more precise and engaging title could be: "The Essential Role of Clinical Pharmacists: Daily Activities in Drug Therapy Monitoring, Ward Rounds, and Patient Counseling" This revised title highlights the specific aspects of the manuscript, making it clearer to the reader what the content will cover.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a good overview of the daily activities of clinical pharmacists, covering drug therapy monitoring, medication chart review, ward rounds, and patient counseling. However, it could be more comprehensive by briefly mentioning the goals and outcomes of these activities, as well as emphasizing their impact on patient care. Here are a few suggestions: 1. Add key goals and outcomes: Mention the primary objectives of these activities, such as ensuring medication safety, optimizing therapeutic outcomes, and minimizing adverse drug reactions (ADRs). 2. Emphasize patient care: Highlight how these activities contribute to improved patient care, adherence to therapy, and overall health outcomes. 3. Clarify the scope: Include the scope of the pharmacist's role within interdisciplinary teams during ward rounds, and their educational role in counseling patients.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	

[Review Form2](#)

<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound due to its clear and systematic presentation of clinical pharmacist activities. It accurately outlines the key responsibilities such as drug therapy monitoring, medication chart review, ward round participation, and patient counseling, aligning with current standards in clinical pharmacy practice. The use of appropriate terminology and references to evidence-based practices ensures scientific accuracy. Additionally, the manuscript emphasizes interdisciplinary collaboration and patient-centered care, which are essential elements of modern healthcare, further reinforcing its scientific validity.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>The references provided in the manuscript seem sufficient and relevant, as they cover a wide range of aspects related to clinical pharmacy activities. However, while some references are recent, including publications from 2024, a broader inclusion of more recent studies or reviews, particularly those highlighting emerging trends in clinical pharmacy and patient counseling, could further strengthen the manuscript. Additionally, incorporating guidelines or best practices from organizations like the American College of Clinical Pharmacy (ACCP) or the World Health Organization (WHO) might provide a more comprehensive reference list.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Overall, this manuscript provides a thorough and insightful overview of daily clinical pharmacist activities, with particular emphasis on drug therapy monitoring, ward rounds, and patient counseling. The structure is clear, and the inclusion of visual aids (figures) helps to enhance understanding. However, the manuscript could benefit from some additional refinement in the language to improve clarity and readability. Simplifying technical terms in the abstract and throughout the text could make it more accessible to a broader audience. Additionally, including practical examples or case studies might help to illustrate key points more effectively. Overall, the manuscript is well-prepared, but minor revisions could improve its impact and clarity.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Bondarieva Iryna</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>National University of Pharmacy, Ukraine</p>