

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2829
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Beneficiation of Agricultural Waste from Piggeries Located Within Residential Areas in Promoting Green Production</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The article's topic is highly precise, and its approach is fitting.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is somewhat confusing; it could be more clear.  "Valorization of Agricultural Waste from Piggeries in Residential Areas to Promote Sustainable Production"	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The summary lacks clarity.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	If it is appropriate	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	I believe the application of intelligent systems in this work is scientifically sound.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	It is necessary to include more recent references, particularly from journals focusing on intelligent optimization systems that utilize software like MATLAB.	

[Review Form2](#)

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The use of language is correct.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall, the content is well-structured, but a few areas could benefit from clearer language and more specific details to enhance clarity and precision.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jose Antonio Valles Romero</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of The Incarnate Word, United States</b>