

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2830
Title of the Manuscript:	Associations of Anthropometric Parameters with Thyroid Hormone Profiles and the Serum Proteins in Healthy Young Women in the Preconception Period
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this manuscript to the scientific community lies in its novel research methodology, which provides new analytical tools and perspectives in this field of research. However, despite the innovative nature of the research methodology, further detailed modifications are required during the methodological design process to ensure rigour and process.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, Title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Not comprehensive.  Study design, place of study and duration of study is not included in the methodology part. Please mention it.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, Its appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically rigorous and reliable. Its research methodology is novel and innovative, providing a new means of analysis in the field. Meanwhile, the data collection and analysis processes during the study followed scientific standards to ensure the accuracy and credibility of the results. In addition, the study's self-directed learning orchestration model is well-designed and can effectively enhance students' creativity and self-confidence, thus enhancing the overall effectiveness of the learning programme.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes, Sufficient.	

**Review Form2**

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, Recommended.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sumesh Prasad Sah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Muzaffarnagar Medical College &amp; Hospital, India</b>