

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2842
Title of the Manuscript:	MORPHOMETRY OF THE ORBITAL REGION: "BEAUTY IS BOUGHT BY JUDGMENT OF THE EYES"
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This is a well-structured study with clear objectives and a strong methodological approach. However, greater detail on statistical analysis, sample diversity, and comparative data from other studies would significantly improve its quality.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>NO</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The conclusion mentions previous studies but doesn't provide a clear comparison or context for how this study's findings align with, or differ from, previous research.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Further, expanding the abstract and conclusions to incorporate a broader discussion of findings and implications would make it more impactful.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

[Review Form2](#)

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	BEST	
Optional/General comments	VERY NICE BOOK	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Sumita Shukla
Department, University & Country	Rajarashi Dashrath Autonomous Medical College, India