

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Pharmaceutical Research: Recent Advances and Trends
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2870
Title of the Manuscript:	GC-MS in Quantification and Characterization of Phytoconstituents of Herbal extracts- A Review
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript submitted by authors reports phytoconstituents of herbal extracts as natural sources because of their bioactive compounds. The idea is of great interest. But there are some comments that need to be revised.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is not suitable. Because this article is a review and reported characterization of phytoconstituents of herbal not only for extracts and with GC/MS, but also for essential oils and with another analysis methods like HPLC, LC/MS, etc.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Medicinal plants are natural sources because of their bioactive compounds.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is ok.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Not suitable.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript submitted by authors reports phytoconstituents of herbal extracts as natural sources because of their bioactive compounds. The idea is of great interest. But there are some comments that need to be revised.</p> <p>1.Accurate proof reading/English Editing is required throughout the manuscript; please take advantage of the help by a native speaking colleague or an English Editing Service with basic field-knowledge, so as to improve the read-flow and thus, the overall comprehensiveness of your research.</p> <p>2.The quality of written English is almost poor throughout the manuscript. Many statements do not make any sense at all. There are many linguistic and format mistakes. I suggest the authors completely rewrite the manuscript.</p> <p>3. Please use small or capital letters for the first letter of the the first word in the entire text of the article.</p> <p>4. Revised and rewrite this part (The medicinal plants with bioactive phytoconstituents are presented, page 6) and started with better words.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atefeh Bahmanzadegan
Department, University & Country	Iran