

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Pharmaceutical Research: Recent Advances and Trends
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2886
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and its pathogenic inhibition by drugs: An overview
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a very important topic which is of great interest for the scientific community. I like the topic and research by the author because it provides us sufficient background knowledge and also the recent developments in the field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensively written	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Really appreciate the efforts of the author/authors	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This write up is appropriate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References seem more than sufficient. A very elaborative work has been carried out by the author. But 1. 123 out of 282 cited references are 8-10 years old 2. Author cites 2-3 references for a single statement.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is remarkable but the writing styles differ from topic to topic. It would had been better if one author has written it and better if shown to a language expert.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tahir Jameel
Department, University & Country	King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia