

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Physical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2904
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RELATIVISTIC DYNAMICS AS A QUANTUM EFFECT
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work addresses an interesting subject of quantum field theory, namely the connection of relativistic field descriptions with standard quantum mechanics of particles including relativistic effects as described by Lorentz transformations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable for a general audience interested in quantum field theory.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Well structured and explained. Very few typos in the text and also in the references.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work incorporates relativistic effects at the interaction of particles with waves. From a theoretical perspective, this defines an important, theoretical concept towards formulations of relativistic quantum mechanics.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, however, there are some typos in the references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Few typos. Eqs. (2.16) and (2.17) are two-fold. Few more issues.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Schelle
Department, University & Country	IU International University of Applied Sciences, Germany