

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_2942</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Understanding the Causes of Personality Maladjustment from the Standpoint of Some Prominent Theories: A Literature Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is valuable to the scientific community as it integrates key psychological theories, deepening the understanding of personality maladjustment. By combining insights from Freud, Erikson, Ellis, and Rogers, it offers a comprehensive analysis of the factors involved in maladjustment. Its balanced approach connects classical and contemporary theories, making it relevant for both research and clinical applications in psychology.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Title is good but not clear it should be like -</b> Personality in Turmoil: Exploring the Roots of Maladjustment Through Leading Theories Untangling Personality Maladjustments: A Theoretical Journey Through Psychology	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Abstract need to be elaborate, its just like a procedure not like a abstract shoud like skeliten of paper	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Subsections are not in proper order according to APA style, there is no title of interpretation, summary and limitations of the study.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	What the theories are selected by author good which are seen in daily in human life. This manuscript is scientifically robust as it incorporates well-established psychological theories—Freud's psychodynamic theory, Erikson's psychosocial development, Ellis's Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT), and Rogers's humanistic approach—to explain personality maladjustment. Each theory offers a distinct lens for understanding maladjustment, from unconscious conflicts (Freud) and developmental crises (Erikson) to irrational beliefs (Ellis) and the self-concept (Rogers). By drawing on these foundational frameworks, the manuscript ensures a comprehensive and theoretically grounded exploration of the topic. This multi-theoretical approach strengthens its validity and depth of analysis.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References are sufficient and in proper manner	

[Review Form2](#)

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Topic is good and this article is helpful for current scenario	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sivasankar Mandal Baidya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vignan's University ( Deemed to Be), India</b>