

[Review Form2](#)

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Book Name:               | <a href="#">Current Research Progress in Agricultural Sciences</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_BPR_2633   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effects of different storage temperature conditions on ripening quality and shelf life of mango ( <i>Mangifera indica</i> ) fruits in Ghana |
| Type of the Article      | Book chapter  |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>                        | The article will give some new ideas for preservation and shelf life extension of mango fruits in Ghana conditions. The article is also important for exporting mango fruits to European countries from Ghana with maximum shelf life and find a way increasing foreign currency income through exporting mango fruit more safely. The findings of this manuscript are something new which will motivate other scientists for conducting more research in this area. |   |
| <b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>  | <b>Suitable</b>  |   |
| <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>   | <b>Abstract is well written.</b>   |   |
| <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  | <b>Appropriate</b>   |   |
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b> | Scientifically this article is robust and technically sound as it followed appropriate treatment, design and analysis procedures as a whole. Results and discussion section written separately have also sufficient supporting citation of other authors.  |   |
| <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>   | <b>References are sufficient and recent.</b>   |   |

**Review Form2**

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Minor REVISION comments<br><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b> | Article is suitable for scholarly communications |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |  |  |

**PART 2:**

|   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Mrityunjoy Biswas</b>  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Jashore University of Science and Technology, Bangladesh</b> |