

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Agricultural Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3086
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Foliar Spray with Different Doses of Zinc (ZnSO ₄) at Various Crop Stages on Seed Quality Parameters of Harvested Seeds
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this manuscript because now a days, many diseases are occurring due to zinc deficiency and we can reduce it through foliar application.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	An alternative title-“Impact of foliar spray with different doses of zinc (ZnSo ₄) at various crop stages and seed quality parameters of harvested seeds”.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, abstract of the article is alright.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. One suggestion from my side that you may also add one or two graphs of the given table.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A well structured experimental setup with proper controls, replicates and randomization strengthens the findings. 2. Statistical analysis should be appropriate. 3. The results should be clearly presented. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Give space between two words.	

[Review Form2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suman Vishwakarma
Department, University & Country	India