

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Agricultural Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3096
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Bio-formulation on Enhancement of Seed Quality and Yield in Garden Pea (Pisum sativum L.)
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses an important development in improving quality of agricultural and horticultural seeds. It is especially important because it embraces options that are more ecologically sound than previous priming practices such as osmo-priming with salts which would pose some environmental burden. When development of such new approach reaches climax it may revolutionaize seed treatment	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is. Minor typographical or grammatical errors	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents an important scientific encounter. It is also technically sound, with perhaps minor statistical clarifications. There is no doubt about scientific robustness of the information presented in this manuscript	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient and most not very old	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dunstan G Msuya
Department, University & Country	Sokoine University of Agriculture, Tanzania