

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3204
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Cytological Changes of the Oral Mucosa Among Smokers in Al Madinah Al Munawara Using Argyrophilic Nucleolar Organizer Region (AgNOR) Counts and Papanicolaus Stain
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Testing smokers with AgNOR and Papanicolaou (Pap) stains is crucial for early detection of cellular changes that may lead to oral squamous cell carcinoma. It leads to early detection, cellular insights, risk assessment and mainly this type of study create awareness to the most of the people	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Title is suitable can add the study design	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The number of participants listed in the abstract is incorrect.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	There are grammatical mistakes present throughout the text, and several areas require correction. Additionally, the determination of sample size is not addressed, the inclusion criteria are not clearly defined.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -	The number of references should be increased to at least 20, including recent articles.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	It should be improvised	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In your study, you defined non-smokers as individuals who smoke fewer than 20 cigarettes. However, if a person smokes 15 cigars per day, they are likely to experience cellular changes over time. How would this individual be classified as a control in the study?	

[Review Form2](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Boddeda Suma Priyanka</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>