

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	<u>Recent Research Advances in Arts and Social Studies</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_20037D
Title of the Manuscript:	LIBERTARIANISM: A REFUTATION FROM ARISTOTLE'S VIEWPOINT
Type of the Article	Book Chpater

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	The manuscript is very important as the author critiques the concept of Libertarianism and how it is less likely to develop sustainable and peaceful societies. Government involvement is very important to control and manage societies; without government intervention, the strong will eat the weak. To build a sustainable society we have to be aware of the collective action problem and the tragedy of the common, these two concepts explain how societies will be better off if they think of the society's interest rather than the individual interest.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative title in your mind?	The title from my view is appropriate and no changes are needed.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract needs to be written as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The problem. - The purpose of the research paper. - Data gathering. - Results. - Practical implication. - 	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Overall, the English quality is very clear and suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammar mistakes noticed in the text.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The consistency is needed in all the topics of the research paper. In the introduction part the author is going to discuss the two important notions (Para 1, Line 3). The start "for the first one" and in discussing the second one the author states "for another reason".	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Based on the topic, the references are proper. However, I recommend that the author support his research with recent articles related to the topic, at least within the last five years.	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Eldahamsheh
Department, University & Country	College of Business Administration, American University in The Emirates, United Arab Emirates