

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Advanced Concepts in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_11499F
Title of the Manuscript:	The Microbial Profile and Resistance Pattern of Pathogens Isolated From Long COVID Pneumonia Patients and Their Correlation to Clinical Outcome: Our Experience From a Tertiary Care Hospital
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	The manuscript seems to be a novel approach to study the microbial profile of the various samples of long COVID patients, while further characterising them based on their drug resistance. This will substantially contribute to the medical fraternity and research scientists to find out a sustainable treatment for such patients.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title seems to be fine.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract could have been a little briefer. The 'method' and 'result' section can be contracted while conclusion can be just in a line or two.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, the quality of English seems to be at par with any good journal or book.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The 'introduction' part could have been slightly more elaborate, giving more justification for the study and if there are any such kind of previous studies reporting the microbial flora of COVID patients could be stated. 'Materials and Methods' is well written catering to the understanding of the reader. In the 'Results' section, there seems to many tables. Some results can be clubbed together in one table. This will enable the reader to comprehend the results in a better and easier way. 'Discussion' and 'Conclusion' also seems appropriate.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	References are alright and sufficient in number.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

BPI Review Form 070520

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tahsina Shireen
Department, University & Country	University of Science and Technology, India