

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Chemical and Materials Sciences - Developments and Innovations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1269
Title of the Manuscript:	A comprehensive model for the optical transmission for determining the optimal thickness and figure of merit of Al-doped ZnO films as transparent conducting coatings
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	This work is of exceptional importance to the scientific community and will have a long-lasting impact, not only by advancing theoretical knowledge but also for future research and practical applications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	I completely agree with the proposed title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, the abstract is understandable and properly conveys the content and meaning of the main text.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	English quality of the text is suitable for scholarly communications but I suggest avoiding a personal tone, in the sense of "we show", "we discussed", etc., but more adequate sentence structures (passive forms: "it was shown" and so on). My all suggestions regarding this question are included in the manuscript text.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The text is divided into logical, comprehensive subheadings. Please, make all corrections according to the comments noted in the PDF	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	References fully correspond to the scientific field to which the manuscript belongs.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

BPI Review Form 070520

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Danijela Suput
Department, University & Country	University of Novi Sad, Serbia