

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	ASSESSMENT OF THREAT FROM FIRE AND TORRENTIAL AVENUES. CASE STUDY EXPERIENCE
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1023
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THREAT FROM FIRE AND TORRENTIAL AVENUES. CASE STUDY EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. It addresses significant issues related to natural disaster risk management, particularly focusing on fire and torrential flood threats in Colombia. This topic is critical given the increasing frequency and severity of such events due to climate change. The case study approach provides practical insights and data that can inform both local and broader applications in disaster management strategies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title is suitable and clearly reflects the content and scope of the manuscript. An alternative title could be "Risk Assessment and Mitigation Strategies for Fire and Torrential Floods: A Case Study from Colombia."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract is comprehensive and provides a good overview of the manuscript's objectives, methodology, and findings. It effectively summarizes the context, the specific case study, and the implications of the study's results.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The English quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, a thorough proofreading could enhance clarity and readability by addressing minor grammatical errors and improving sentence structure.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The different sections of the manuscript are well-structured and appropriately detailed. The historical background, threat zoning, and the definition of actions and measures are logically organized and provide a comprehensive analysis of the subject matter. The use of tables, figures, and maps effectively supports the text and aids in understanding the complex data presented.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	The references in the manuscript are appropriate, recent, and sufficient. They cover a wide range of relevant sources, including government documents, scientific studies, and methodological guides. This robust referencing enhances the manuscript's credibility and provides a solid foundation for the presented analysis.	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Johana Delgado
Department, University & Country	Universidad Católica Andrés Bello, Venezuela