

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Research Updates in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_852
Title of the Manuscript:	Numerical Study of Flow Past Three Rectangular Rods at Unequal Gap Spacing
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	The manuscript is significant for the scientific community. It explores the impact of unequal gap spacing on the flow behavior past three rectangular rods at a fixed Reynolds number and Aspect Ratio.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title of the article, "Numerical Study of Flow Past Three Rectangular Rods at Unequal Gap Spacing," is appropriate for the content discussed in the manuscript. The title accurately reflects the main focus of the research, which involves a detailed numerical investigation of the flow behavior around three rectangular rods with varying gap spacing.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract provides a concise yet informative overview of the research objectives, methodology, and main findings, making it a comprehensive summary of the article's content.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The language used in the article is precise, formal, and appropriate for scholarly communication in the field of fluid dynamics and numerical simulations.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The manuscript appears to be well-structured, covering essential sections expected in a scholarly article. Each section contributes to the understanding of the research conducted and the implications of the findings in the field of fluid dynamics and numerical simulations.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	The cited references are relatively recent, with the oldest being from 1954 and the most recent from 2019, ensuring that the research is based on up-to-date knowledge in the field. However, I suggest updating the older references and standardizing all references in the same reference system.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

BPI Review Form 070520

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronald Fernando Rodriguez Espinoza
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autonoma Del Peru, Peru