

**BPI Review Form 070520**

Book Name:	<a href="#">Pharmaceutical Research- Recent Advances and Trends</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_1248</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SYSTEMATIC STUDY OF AMINOGLYCOSIDE GROUP ANTIBIOTICS DRUG RESISTANCE</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	This study is needed for clinical practice, particularly infectious disease physicians and pharmacists.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes, it is, but there are some redundant words. Alternative: Aminoglycoside antibiotic resistance: a systematic review	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	No, it is not. The author should reorganize the introduction (background) to be more concise, add a search method (and keywords), and conclusion (summarize).	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	moderate	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	Is this study a literature review, scoping review, or systematic review? The author should add information about spectrum antibacteria that can be eliminated by Aminoglycoside because this study is dedicated to a specific antibiotic. In the subtitle: 'Bacterial resistance to aminoglycoside antibiotics:' The author should add evidence of bacterial resistance to aminoglycoside (in number), not only the resistance mechanism. The conclusion is general, not considering the specific findings.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	66% (41/62) references published over 10 years ago.	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fauna Herawati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Surabaya, Indonesia