

**BPI Review Form 070520**

Book Name:	<a href="#">Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_913</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Social and Emotional competencies: Vital attribute for Teacher Aspirants</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book Chapter</b>

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This Book's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only based on '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

**To ensure a high-quality review, reviewers are rated on a 5-point scale and reviewers with an average of 3 or more points will be included in the Annual Peer Reviewers' Hall of Fame. Please see details of the Annual Peer Reviewers' Hall of Fame here:**

One point is assigned for each of the following:

1. The reviewer responded to the review invitation within the requested time frame.
2. The reviewer reviewed the manuscript within the requested time frame.
3. The review addressed all aspects that were asked for in the reviewer guidelines.
4. The reviewer gave suggestions to improve the revised version
5. The review was written in a friendly and encouraging way.

**Special note:**

A research paper which has already been published in a journal can be published as a Book Chapter in an expanded form. This is acceptable in the academic world.

**Source Article:**

**This chapter is an extended version of the article published by the same author(s) in the following journal.**

**Journal of Education and Practice, 14(14): 54-57, 2023.**

**DOI: 10.7176/JEP/14-14-06**

**BPI Review Form 070520**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	<b>Yes, it meets the standard</b>	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	<b>It is relevant to the development of the work</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	<b>YES, publicize the work and its development</b>	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	<b>They meet the purpose of this article</b>	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	<b>Yes, it complies</b>	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	<b>They are enough for the article</b>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jose Manuel Salum Tome</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Catholic University of Temuco, Chile</b>