

**BPI Review Form 070520**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Book Name:               | <b><u>Recent Research Advances in Arts and Social Studies</u></b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_BP_7758C</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>On studying the hierarchical inter-relationships amongst challenges to implementation of Ideation tools and techniques and benefits from using Info-graphics in education</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Book chapter</b>  |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Is the manuscript important for the scientific community?<br>Please write a few sentences explaining your answer                              | The manuscript may become important to the scientific community. The authors need to make some improvements.<br>Acronyms need to be explained.<br>The data used for processing, the origin and the method of analysis must be explained.<br>There are no conclusions to use.<br>The bibliography needs to be revised.For example, "MacQuarrie, A. Info-graphics in Education. Think Tank. <a href="http://blog.k12.com/2012/07/10/infographics-education">http://blog.k12.com/2012/07/10/infographics-education</a> " cannot be accessed. |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable?<br>Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?  | Yes, the title of the article is suitable.  |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?<br>If your answer is No, please provide suggestions   | The article summary is quite comprehensive, but uses inexplicable acronyms. The main findings are not presented in the abstract.  |   |
| Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications?<br>If your answer is No, please provide suggestions | There are some parts that can be improved. As an example, we can mention chapter 4, which is presented as a story and not as a scientific description.  |   |
| Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.   | It is not understood why chapters 2 and 3 are organized with subchapters. It is an inappropriate way of organizing.   |   |
| Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient?<br>If you have any suggestions, please write here.      | The references are a bit old. It is hard to believe that more recent bibliographic references cannot be found.  |   |

**BPI Review Form 070520**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Lucian Lupu-Dima</b>                 |
| Department, University & Country | <b>University of Petrosani, Romania</b> |