

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Research Advances in Environment, Geography and Earth Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_587
Title of the Manuscript:	Trends in heavy rainfalls in West Africa: case study of Oti river basin
Type of the Article	Book chapter

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	-	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Author is recommended to choose a suitable title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The Abstract is comprehensive, but it contains some grammatical mistakes, which need consideration.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The manuscript contains some grammatical mistakes, and it is recommended to strengthen the literature review as well.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	<p>1. In the (INTRODUCTION) section the author fails the clarity of the actual research. The literature speaks more about climate change rather than explains the relation of precipitation trends to climate change and other aspects.</p> <p>2. As the author initially mentions the study has been carried out on a regional level, and some of the sentences explain the issue globally. It needs to avoid such mistakes.</p> <p>3. The author should provide data description table in (DATA AND QUALITY CONTROL) section.</p> <p>4. The researcher is always expected to give the results with the reasons behind it. Such as the results and discussion section explaining trend change over a period of time, the author does not explain why these indices highly decreased during 1921-2018 in a large part of the area. Why do different trends (negative, significantly negative, positive, significant positive trends) occur? What conditions put such changes? However, readers will be unable to understand what is taking place and what mitigation measures can be taken.</p> <p>5. Conclusion is not enough to take any kind of decision regarding the management of the resource. It should be more specific and ideological.</p> <p>6. The author needs to describe the limitations of the study as well in (RESULTS AND DISCUSSINS).</p> <p>7. The author can extend their point of view critically by considering the major points mentioned above.</p> <p>8. There is a need to work on literature of the manuscript.</p>	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Habibullah Abbasi
Department, University & Country	University of Sindh Jamshoro, Pakistan