

**BPI Review Form 070520**

Book Name:	<a href="#">Medical Research and Its Applications</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_759</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Clinicopathological characteristics of APC, PIK3CA and SMAD4-mutated colorectal adenocarcinomas in Uganda</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	[1] The manuscript is relevant to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	[2] The short title, I suggest "Clinical-Pathological Profile of Colorectal Adenocarcinomas with APC, PIK3CA, and SMAD4 Mutations in Uganda"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	[3] The abstract describes the subject well, the methodology, the main results, discussions and conclusion.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	[4] Yes	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	[5] In general, the parts of the manuscript conform to scientific rigor. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>How can the steady increase in the incidence of colorectal cancer recorded in the Kampala Cancer Registry between 2011 and 2015 be correlated with the increasing availability of colorectal cancer care and an improvement in diagnostic capacity in Uganda?</li> <li>What is the relationship between demographic and clinicopathological characteristics with PIK3CA mutation in colorectal cancer patients in Uganda, considering previous studies that have shown contradictory results regarding tumor stage and the presence of this mutation?</li> </ol>	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	[6] For a cross-sectional study, the references are up-to-date	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thiago Victorino Claus
Department, University & Country	Franciscan University, Brazil