

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	<u>Advancement and New Understanding in Medical Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_11790F
Title of the Manuscript:	<u>Burnout and Satisfaction Level Among General Surgeons: A Preliminary Survey</u>
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes. The manuscript is necessary and timely for the scientific community. This is because the issues of burnout and satisfaction have been of national interest.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The manuscript's title makes sense.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract has a thorough organizational system. I will advise excluding the word "survey" from the keywords. Furthermore, doctors and surgeons look monotonous. Considering the topic, surgeons is appropriate.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	No, I think the English could be improved to make it more formal. The author is found of using "We" to qualify co-researchers. "The researchers" could occasionally replace "We" in the manuscript	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	Abstract section was appropriate. Materials and Methods was not detail. Results and Discussion: The discussion lacked a clear connection to the study's findings. Conclusion: There was no recommendation made in this section in respect of the conclusion.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	No, the references need to be updated. The manuscript only contain two recent citations. (2023 and 2022)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

BPI Review Form 070520

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Victor Chidi Onyencho
Department, University & Country	North-West University, South Africa