

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	<u>Current Innovations in Chemical and Materials Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_7213B
Title of the Manuscript:	Porous Ceramics with Directional Pores
Type of the Article	book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes. The manuscript dealt with scientific approaches needed in terms of porous ceramics.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes. The title is suitable for such study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes. The abstract gives a comprehensive summary of the study	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The English quality is appropriate and can easily be understood by scholars/readers. The only issue was that the author wrote 'Figure' with capital letter through out the manuscript even when it was not at the beginning of a sentence. For instance,shown in figure 14 instead of writing.....as shown in Figure 14.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	1. Abstract: it captured all the salient points of the study and therefore properly fit in the context of a book chapter. 2. Introduction: it covered comprehensive previous studies on porous ceramics and solidification methods undertaken by different authors 3. Methodology: various methods employed were appropriate including experimental research and suitable methods for various preparations. 4. Results and Discussions: the study made good results and discussion with empirical facts and figures. 5. Conclusion: the conclusion drawn was appropriate was also based on the evidence obtained from the results.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Even though the author cited relevant books related to the study, a few of them were very old. If author could take a second look at the few books that more than 40 years old.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[BPI Review Form 070520](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kofi Asante-Kyei
Department, University & Country	Takoradi Technical University, Ghana