

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Calibrating Urban Livability in the Global South
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_2811G.24
Title of the Manuscript:	Considering the gender perspective for the concept of Smart Housing
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	YES, It provides gender perspective of individual preference for smart housing parameters in India by considering two regions/cities. However, there is need for the author to provide more and logical justification of the method used in this study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	YES, It is suitable. But I would suggest that the author should consider adding either "a case of india in the title" or the tile should read "Considering the gender perspective for the concept of Smart Housing: Evidence from India"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	YES, but the author should consider rephrasing some setences in the abstract as highlighted with the track changes in the reviewed manuscript.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	YES	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	Although, I have provided more and clear comment in the reviewed manuscript with track changes. But for clarity, the author should consider the following comments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Introduction: the section should provides the aim and specific objectives of the paper and shoud end with the paper structure to guide the readers. ii. Literature review: The sub-title of the section should be literature review and not literal study. Overall, this section is succinctly presented and apt. iii. Method: This section is weak and can be strengthen by the author. The section should starts with a brief description of the study area, why focusing on the two regions/cities etc. Method of data collection use and sample puplation, method of sampling techniques and data analysis method. Eventhough, the author used maily descriptive statistic throughout for the data analysis, it is better to still state or mention it brief. It will do no harm to the paper. iv. Result and discussion should not be mixed together. The discussion of the result should be compared with past relevant literature and situation in the existing body of knowledge and the result should not be discussed in isolation of the literature. v. The conclusion and the recommendation section are appropriate. 	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	YES, but the author should be consistent with the appropriate reference style possibly provided by the instructions for authors guide. Especially most of the intext reference citation are inconsistent.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

BPI Review Form 070520

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ibukun James Olaoye
Department, University & Country	Nigerian Institute of Social and Economic Research, Nigeria