

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2052
Title of the Manuscript:	The Significant Role of Music in the Educational System through the Various Scientific Disciplines
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript under review aims to highlight the significant role of music in the educational system through various scientific disciplines. However, the topic is considered hackneyed and not particularly relevant in terms of its writing material. For instance, references to advancements in music education over "the last decades" are misleading, as the developments discussed span over 50 years, making much of the content outdated since its publication in 2015. The manuscript asserts the importance of music in education, a point that is already widely accepted. Additionally, recent technological advancements are not adequately described, and key terms lack proper explanation, which detracts from the manuscript's clarity and depth. Furthermore, the manuscript could benefit from focusing on a single country to provide a more in-depth analysis and relevant examples. The intended audience is not clearly defined, which makes it difficult to gauge the manuscript's impact and relevance. There are also several controversial points presented without sufficient argumentation, and much of the information is outdated, presenting common knowledge rather than novel insights. Finally, the manuscript lacks the author's own pedagogical experience, which could have provided valuable practical insights to support the theoretical discussions. In summary, while the manuscript attempts to underscore the role of music in education, it falls short due to its outdated information, lack of clear audience focus, and insufficient exploration of recent technological advancements and relevant terms.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the chapter is too long, the reviewer recommends to change it.For example, “The Impact of Music on Education Across Scientific Disciplines”.	

Review Form2

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract mentions "around the world" but fails to specify which countries or regions have implemented music education within their compulsory education systems. This generalization weakens the argument by not providing concrete examples. The abstract lacks a description of the methodologies used in the referenced studies. There is no mention of specific education laws, scientific approaches, or the types of research conducted (e.g., longitudinal studies, experimental designs). The relevance of the topic is not clearly articulated. The abstract does not explain why studying the role of music in education is significant in the current educational and scientific landscape.The abstract contains broad statements about the benefits of music education without providing specific findings or data. This makes the claims seem less substantiated. The abstract refers to "numerous studies" without specifying any key studies or authors. This lack of citation makes it difficult to verify the claims and reduces the credibility of the abstract. It emphasizes the positive aspects of music education without acknowledging any potential drawbacks, limitations of the studies, or areas where further research is needed. This one-sided view may appear biased.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript show a clear effort to organize information systematically, but there are areas that need improvement. The manuscript lacks a detailed algorithm or framework in the introduction, which would help guide the reader through the subsequent sections more effectively. Additionally, while the manuscript covers various interdisciplinary studies and benefits of music education, the structure could be enhanced by incorporating more recent technological advancements and clearer explanations of key terms. This would not only improve the clarity and depth of the information presented but also ensure the manuscript remains relevant and informative for current research trends.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific robustness of the manuscript is somewhat undermined by the lack of recent technological advancements and the failure to explain key terms in detail, which affects the clarity and depth of the presented information. While the manuscript covers a broad range of interdisciplinary studies and provides a detailed literature review, it does not incorporate the latest technological developments in music education and cognition research. This omission limits the manuscript's relevance and fails to provide a comprehensive understanding of the current state of the field. Additionally, the absence of the author's personal pedagogical experience detracts from the practical applicability of the findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Many of the references are relatively dated, with some from the 1970s and 1980s, and a few from the early 2000s. For a scholarly article to be considered up-to-date and relevant, it would benefit from including more recent studies and references.The most recent reference is from 2012 which is not acceptable for such subject.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are several instances of awkward phrasing and grammatical errors, for example: "Around the world in many, music is studied within the compulsory education system through the subject of music education." could be more clearly phrased as "Around the world, music is studied within the compulsory education system as part of music education." "That's through the kinds of meanings and satisfactions that only musical sounds, defined and structured according to cultural expectations, traditions and identity traits, can provide." This sentence is fragmented and could be restructured for clarity. Some sentences are unclear and need more precise language. "Some of these needs can be met only through the music." should specify what "these needs" are. "In-order to highlight the role of music within the educational system, in this research, we have considered some interdisciplinary scientific findings that deeply confirm the benefits of music." could be clearer as "To highlight the role of music within the educational system, this research considers interdisciplinary scientific findings that confirm the benefits of music." Scholarly articles often have a formal and consistent style. Phrases like "Huge body of research works" should be more formally stated as "A substantial body of research".	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stacy Olive Jarvis
Department, University & Country	The University of Birmingham, United Kingdom