

Review Form2

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research: New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2275
Title of the Manuscript:	Dorsal hemangioblastoma with holocord syringomyelia: case report
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This case report is important because it highlights the diagnostic and surgical challenges associated with spinal hemangioblastoma, a rare type of tumor. The patient's presentation with cervicobrachialgia and dorsal pain, followed by the discovery of an extensive syringomyelic cavity on MRI, underscores the complexity of diagnosing such tumors. The successful surgical removal of the tumor, despite its hypervascular nature and the associated risk of cerebrospinal fluid leakage, demonstrates the critical role of advanced neurophysiological monitoring and precise surgical techniques in managing complex spinal lesions. Additionally, the case emphasizes the importance of recognizing and treating postoperative complications like fluid hypotension.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	They can add the word rare to be more attractive: Dorsal hemangioblastoma with holocord syringomyelia: a rare case report	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Gives enough information.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. However, the subject can be expanded in the discussion.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses the rarity of spinal hemangioblastomas with holocord syringomyelia, citing only 11 previous cases. This adds to the scientific literature by contributing new clinical data and reinforcing the importance of specific surgical considerations. The discussion integrates various theories regarding the pathophysiology of syringomyelia associated with intramedullary tumors.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	Sufficient.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The grammar can be improved in some areas. Hyperuptake in Abstract can be replaced by hyperenhancement. On the MRI figure: The nodule is isointense on T2. T1 is a post contrast; so it demonstrates hyperenhcmnt on post contrast T1 (not hyperintense).	
Optional/General comments	Revision on the language and image description	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdullgabbar Hamid
Department, University & Country	Rush University Medical Center, United States