

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Science and Technology - Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1015
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of the pretreatment of the mixture of cassava peelings and pineapple fibers using Response Surface Methodology and a Process Simulator for the bioethanol production
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	The manuscript contributes to the existing knowledge on the statistical optimizations of bioethanol production from cassava peelings and pineapple fibers. However, the significance of the findings for the broader scientific community needs to be further elaborated	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title, 'Optimization of the pretreatment of the mixture of cassava peelings and pineapple fibers using Response Surface Methodology and a Process Simulator for the bioethanol production', is too long and could be shortened keeping the main ideas.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract provides a clear and concise overview of the research question, methodology, key findings, and significance. It effectively summarizes the main points of the manuscript.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The manuscript is well-written and uses clear, concise language appropriate for scholarly communication	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The manuscript is well-structured with a clear and logical progression of sections. The flow of information is smooth and easy to follow.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	The reference list seems outdated. Consider including more recent publications within the last 5 years to strengthen the foundation of the research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[BPI Review Form 070520](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mebrahtom G. Weldehans
Department, University & Country	Gachon University, South Korea, Tigray Institute of Policy Studies, Ethiopia