

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Medical Research and Its Applications
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1066
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment and Evaluation- In Perspective of Medical Education
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	This manuscript add no value to the scientific community as there are no references to best practices and many statements are incorrect. There is no useful information	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	I have no suggestions since right till the end it was not clear what the writer wanted to convey	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract does not tell what is to come in the rest of the manuscript. Very short disconnected sentences and there is no flow to the write up. There is confusion between assessment of student vs assessment of teaching	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Improper Use of tenses, connection between concepts and sentences. There is no flow in the writing and newer concepts are introduced abruptly. Academic writing is highly deficient	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	Incorrect statements and claims in the sections of Assessment and Evaluation. In Evaluation the study that has been documented is weak, incomplete and add no value and hasn not been mentioned before and neither have the details of the study been given. In methods of students Evaluation why just these two , no background of the same had been given. No reference to workplace based assessment	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	No. The references include none of those from known names and stalwarts of assessment. This is the reason for the incorrectness of facts	

BPI Review Form 070520

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayesha Rauf
Department, University & Country	National University of Medical Science, Pakistan