

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Approaches in Engineering Research and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1885
Title of the Manuscript:	Cross-linking process, mechanical properties and EMI absorption shielding efficiency of composites based on NBR
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript in mechanical properties and EMI of composites based on acrylonitrile-butadiene rubber (NBR)has the importance for scientific community. Also many researches like to read it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript in mechanical properties and EMI of composites based on acrylonitrile-butadiene rubber (NBR)has therobust for scientific correctness. Also many researches like to refer it.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Comment for Ms_BPR_1885 is listed as follows, (1) Please denote all of the parameters in firstly used of the eqs., e.g. after eq. (2), please delete the "h is the height of the sample", it has been denoted in eq. (1); after eq. (3), please check the parameter "c" in the "c is the velocity of light in vacuum", it has been duplicate used and denoted in eq. (1) but with different meaning. (2) In subsection 2.1, pleasechange the "Tab. 1" into the Table 1"; please check the "Parameters D10 a D50 represent...". (3) In subsection 3.2, pleasechange the "Tab. 3" into the Table 3"; pleasecheck and change the "Tab. 5" into the Table 4". (4) In Table 2, please check and add the top column names for the numbers used in the columns. (5) In Fig. 1 andFig. 2, please denote the parameter "M" in the vertical axis name. (6) In Fig. 6 andFig. 7, please change the "complex permeability (-)" of the vertical axis name into the parameter " μ ", change the "Frequency(Hz)" of the horizontal axis name into the parameter "f (Hz)". (7) In Fig. 8 andFig. 9, please change the "complex permittivity (-)" of the vertical axis name into the parameter " ε ", change the "Frequency(Hz)" of the horizontal axis name into the parameter "f(Hz)". (8) In Fig. 10 andFig. 11, please change the "Frequency(Hz)" of the horizontal axis name into the parameter "f(Hz)".	
Optional/Generalcomments	None.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C.C. Hong
Department, University & Country	Hsiuping University of Science and Technology, Taiwan