

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research - New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1976
Title of the Manuscript:	INJECTION OF AUTOLOGOUS WHOLE BLOOD VERSUS CORTICOSTEROID FOR LATERAL EPICONDYLITIS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Considering that, as the authors point out, the study is based on "the regenerative potential of platelets and growth factors within the patient's blood to stimulate tissue repair" and also considering that platelet-rich plasma is a resource frequently used to treat the pathology addressed in this study, the knowledge of data relating to the content of whole blood (e.g. hematimetry) would facilitate further comparative analysis with other studies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The methods section should indicate that only a single dose is administered.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methods section should indicate if any type of anticoagulant was used for blood collection. The authors indicate in the methods section that "Basic investigations such as complete blood count, C-reactive protein, erythrocyte sedimentation rate and rheumatoid factor were done", but no results (e.g. hematimetry) are reported.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, they are.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments	In the last paragraph of results it says "... Three patients in group N..." and it must be "group B"	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vicente Mirabet
Department, University & Country	Centro de Transfusión de la Comunidad Valenciana, Spain