

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research - New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1980
Title of the Manuscript:	FUNCTIONAL OUTCOME OF PATIENTS WITH MODERATE-TO-SEVERE MEDIAL COMPARTMENT OSTEOARTHRITIS KNEE TREATED BY HIGH TIBIAL OSTEOTOMY AT A TERTIARY CARE CENTER
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The effectiveness of high tibial osteotomy is well established, additional research is always desirable to confirm its long-term advantages and uncover new avenues for development. It covers a crucial area of orthopaedic surgery and rehabilitation and provides data that may have an impact on clinical judgment calls and patient care guidelines.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest shorter title : FUNCTIONAL OUTCOME AFTER HIGH TIBIAL OSTEOTOMY FOR MEDIAL KNEE OSTEOARTHRITIS	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	no specific comments	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I recommend to switch Table 5, which details the mean VAS scores of the cases at presentation and during follow-up, into a chart.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	fairly comprehensive and recent references	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Youness Abdelfettah
Department, University & Country	Cadi Ayyad University, Morocco