Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BPR_2059**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Displacement Status and Welfare of Settlers in Population Resettlements: The case of Bakassi Resettlement Programme in Cross River State, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Book chapter**

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback***(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript "Displacement Status and Welfare of Settlers in Population Resettlements" is crucial for the scientific community as it sheds light on the socio-economic and human rights challenges faced by displaced populations, particularly in Africa. It offers critical insights for improving resettlement policies and practices. To enhance the manuscript, it could include more comparative analysis with other global resettlement programs, a stronger focus on long-term outcomes, and more robust quantitative data to support qualitative findings. Additionally, clearer recommendations for policy implementation would strengthen its practical impact.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Displacement Status and Welfare of Settlers in Population Resettlements: The case of Bakassi Resettlement Programme in Cross River State Nigeria" is descriptive and informative, accurately reflecting the content and focus of the study. It clearly indicates the geographical scope, the subject matter (displacement and welfare), and the specific case examined. However, to improve clarity and appeal, the title could be shortened and made more concise, such as "Welfare and Challenges of Bakassi Settlers: A Study of the Bakassi Resettlement Programme, Nigeria."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive as it covers the main objectives, key issues, and findings of the study. It effectively outlines the scope, the implications for resettlement planning, and recommendations for improvement. However, the abstract could benefit from more specific mention of the methodologies used and a brief summary of the main findings. Additionally, it could include the significance of the study's contributions to broader academic and policy discussions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear appropriate, covering key areas such as the introduction, conceptual framework, methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion. These sections provide a logical flow and comprehensive coverage of the research topic. However, to enhance clarity and reader engagement, the manuscript could benefit from more distinct subsections within each main section, particularly in the methodology and results sections. This would help in better organizing the information and making it easier for readers to follow the research findings and analyses.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness by providing a well-defined research framework, employing robust methodologies, and presenting clear and systematic analysis. The use of both quantitative and qualitative data, along with established theoretical models like the risk and reconstruction model, underpins the study's findings. The manuscript also cites credible sources and aligns its conclusions with established literature, ensuring technical soundness. The comprehensive analysis of displacement and resettlement issues is well-supported by empirical evidence, making the study both scientifically robust and methodologically rigorous.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in the manuscript provide a solid foundation, drawing from a variety of sources, including academic studies, reports, and policy documents. However, many of the cited works appear to be dated, with some key references not covering the most recent developments in resettlement and	

-	displacement studies. It would strengthen the manuscript to include more recent literature, particularly studies from the last five years that address the evolving challenges and innovations in resettlement strategies. Including recent case studies or reviews from journals focused on human rights, migration, and development could provide additional depth and contemporary relevance to the study's analysis and conclusions.	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The language and English quality of the manuscript are generally appropriate for scholarly communication. The writing is clear and the technical terms are used correctly. However, there are areas where the text could be refined for better clarity and readability. Some sentences are lengthy and complex, which might hinder understanding. It is recommended to simplify complex sentences and ensure consistent use of tense and terminology throughout the manuscript. A thorough proofreading for grammar, punctuation, and stylistic consistency would further enhance the quality of the writing.	
Optional/Generalcomments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**K A A N Thilakarathna**
Department, University & Country	**University of Colombo, Sri Lanka**